

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,395	HABA, BELGACEM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Notice of Abandonment

 (1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(3) _____.

 (2) Lindy (assistant to Dion M. Bregman).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: 5:05PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to inquiry if a response to a non-final rejection filed on 3/19/04 was sent to the USPTO. Lindy informed the examiner that they wanted the case to go abandon since a divisional application was filed in July 2004. Therefore, a notice of abandonment is forthcoming..